

PUBLIC NOTICE
TOWN OF LEEDS TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

The Town Council of Leeds will hold a Meeting on
Wednesday, May 12, 2010, 7:00 p.m.
At Leeds Town Hall, 218 North Main Street
Public is welcome to attend

AGENDA

Up to two Town Council Members may participate in the meeting by telephone or video conferencing (Ord 2006-08)

NOTE: IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK DURING CITIZEN COMMENT, PLEASE SIGN IN WITH THE CLERK/RECORDER BY 6:55 P.M.

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Roll Call
4. Declaration of Abstentions and Conflicts by Council Members, if any
5. Consent Agenda:
 - a. Tonight's Agenda
 - b. Minutes of Meetings from April 14, 2010 Town Council Meeting & Executive Session , & April 28, 2010 Town Council Meeting
6. Financial Report for March 2010
7. Announcements:
 - a. Boy Scout Troop 1757 Clean-Up Project Pictures Scoutmaster Troy Sullivan
8. Citizen Comment: (No action may be taken on a matter raised under this agenda item). *Please Note: In order to be considerate of everyone attending the meeting and to more closely follow the published agenda, public comments will be limited to 3 minutes per person per item. A spokesperson representing a group to summarize their concerns will be allowed 5 minutes to speak. Repetitious commentary will not be allowed. If you need additional time, please request agenda time with Fran Rex in writing before 1:00 p.m. on the Wednesday one week before the Council meeting.*

WORK SESSION:

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

- A. Discussion with two Planning Commission Members regarding site plan approvals going to the Town Council.
- B. Discussion item of the Eagle Project - Caden Carnley
- C. Report of Cultural and Beautification Committee and Discussion of upcoming events
- D. Discussion of Town Council Members' responsibilities/reports

BUSINESS SESSION:

ACTION ITEMS:

9. Consideration and Possible approval of Resolution# 2010-04 in support of the Washington County Cooperative Weed Management Area MOU
10. Consideration and Discussion of the Tentative FY2011 Town Budget and Setting the Date for a Public Hearing to receive public comments on the Tentative FY2011 Town Budget. (must set a Public Hearing date before June 22 **OR** decide if tax increase & go through a truth in taxation with a Public Hearing and Budget before August 17)
11. Discussion of a Possible Extension of the Inter-local Agreement with Ash Creek Special Service District
12. Consideration and Possible Determination that the Inter-local Agreement with Ash Creek Special Service District is a Viable Sewer Solution for Current and Future Land Development.
13. Consideration and possible approval of Town Addressing Grid

UPDATES BY STAFF:

- E. If any

EXECUTIVE CLOSED SESSION – If needed

F. Adjournment

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Town of Leeds will make reasonable accommodations for persons needing assistance to participate in this public meeting. Persons requesting assistance are asked to call the Town Hall at 879-2447 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

Certificate of Posting

The undersigned Clerk/Recorder does hereby certify that the above notice was posted May 10. These public places being at Leeds Town Hall, Leeds Post Office, the Utah Public Meeting Notice website <http://pnn.utah.gov>, the Town of Leeds Website www.leedstown.org, and Spectrum Newspaper


Fran Rex, Clerk / Recorder

TOWN OF LEEDS TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

MINUTES

May 12, 2010,

1. **Call to Order** – At 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Hyrum Lefler.
2. **Pledge of Allegiance** – was led by Mayor Hyrum Lefler
3. **Roll Call** – Present were Mayor Hyrum Lefler and Council Members Alan Roberts, and Keith Sullivan. Council Members Angela Rohr and Frank Lojko arrived at 7:07 p.m. and 7:11 p.m. respectively. Town Manager Drake Howell and Clerk /Recorder Francene Rex were also in attendance.
4. **Declaration of Abstentions and Conflicts by Council Members** – Mayor Lefler noted he was the uncle to the scout presenting a possible Eagle Project to the Town Council in agenda item B.
5. **A Motion** was made by Alan Roberts with a **second** by Keith Sullivan to **Approve the Consent Agenda** including **Tonight's Agenda**, and the **Minutes** from **April 14, 2010 Town Council Meeting & Executive Session**, & **April 28, 2010 Town Council Meeting**. An **Aye** Vote was **Unanimous**.
6. **Financial Report for March 2010** – was accepted
7. **Announcements** – Mayor Lefler commended Boy Scout Troop 1757 for their clean-up and enhancement project to the triangular island at the Silver Reef turn off. A satellite map was presented on screen. Lefler invited Scoutmaster Troy Sullivan and the Troop to show pictures from their project. The project included clean-up, weeding, and stacking of rocks to create a perimeter. Sullivan stated it took approximately six hours in two days and helped the scouts obtain their citizenship in the community, nation and world merit badges.
8. **Citizen Comment** – New Resident and Business Owner Doug Erdmann introduced his mechanic shop of light auto body and repair. He said he specialized in anything with tires, and could fix jet skis, and boats. He also noted Jones Paint and Glass would do windows one time a week, and he was working on being able to do state inspections.

WORK SESSION:

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

- A. **Discussion with two Planning Commission Members regarding site plan approvals going to the Town Council** – Planning Commission Chair Jeff Mathis advised the Council that the Planning Commission (PC) was drafting an ordinance relating to site plans for commercial and multi-family buildings. He said a recent discussion brought up debate as to whether the Town Council (TC) would want the opportunity for final approval even though the site plan met all the ordinances. Mayor Lefler stated he felt it was okay for the PC to give approval as long as the site plans met the ordinances. Mathis read the section in question 4.2.5 "Effect of Planning Commission Approval" as follows: "*A conditional approval of a Site Analysis by the Planning Commission is not considered approval and shall not create any vested rights to development. Review and approval of a Site Analysis component of a site development plan by the Planning Commission also shall not create any vested rights to development until such time as the Joint Utility Committee signs off on a complete set of engineered Construction Drawings (the final approval phase of the site development plan process).*" It was explained that after a PC approval, the site plan would go to the Joint Utility Committee (JUC) for final approval. Council Member Keith Sullivan asked Town Manager Drake Howell if he thought having the plan come before the Town Council would be of benefit and helpful to the developer/builder. Howell responded that five more eyes offer more opinions, and it might help regarding aesthetics; however, the engineering would already be handled. He noted the PC would deal with the layout, use and conformity and the JUC could properly handle the technical aspects. Mayor Lefler, Alan Roberts, and Keith Sullivan all voiced their consent of having the PC approve site plans that met the existing ordinances, and Lefler added to make sure there was recourse thru the Appeal Authority.

- B. Discussion item of the Eagle Project** – Boy Scout Caden Carnley presented several drawings for the Council to look at and decide upon. The Council asked questions regarding some of the drawings and decided to have them posted at the Town Hall and Website to get some public opinion regarding the decision. Some suggestions were as follows: a) would like it to say “Town of Leeds” and when established; b) ADA accessible; c) no more than 6” higher than the existing table; d) flexible as to enable transport.
- C. Report of Cultural and Beautification Committee and Discussion of upcoming events** - Cultural and Beautification Committee Secretary Roxanne Lewis asked the Council if they would like to host the 4th of July Town Breakfast. She noted that in years past the sponsor has transferred between the Town, the LDS Church, the Fire Department, and the Lions Club. It was discussed that the Town helps fund the fireworks and any breakfast profits could be used to pay for their portion of the fireworks. Mayor Lefler, Frank Lojko and Alan Roberts all voiced their support for the Town of Leeds to sponsor the 4th of July Town Breakfast. The evening activities were also discussed including the following possible activities: A Variety Show, Instructional Dancing, Fireworks, and a Movie in the park for the teenagers. Karaoke was also brought up as a possibility. Other events discussed were the 24th of July. Roxanne Lewis said the Town could combine efforts with the LDS Church, since it is a state and religious holiday. Suggested activities were a Morning Parade; Pioneer Games such as pull toys, ring races, stick pulls, three legged races and marbles; A Program on the pioneers and how Utah became a State; A Pot Luck Dinner with frontier storytelling, cowboy poetry, and Utah history. Lewis also reported the committee was meeting one time a month and was working on an annual Fall Festival. She invited all citizens to attend and help with a holiday.
- D. Discussion of Town Council Members' responsibilities/reports** – Mayor Lefler stated that the Town Manager Drake Howell had given his resignation and his last day would be the following day. He noted this would put more responsibility on the Mayor, Town Council, and Planning Commission. He said he would like all members to have responsibilities they would report on during meetings. The current assignments were noted as follows: Frank Lojko over roads; Keith Sullivan over water, sewer, and cemetery; Angela Rohr over committees; Alan Roberts over parks and liaison with the Planning Commission; and Mayor Lefler over budget, staff, meetings, and coordinating committees. Lefler said he would be discussing with each member their duties and find out how much time they feel they can contribute

BUSINESS SESSION:

ACTION ITEMS:

- 9. Consideration and Possible approval of Resolution# 2010-04 in support of the Washington County Cooperative Weed Management Area MOU** – Mayor Lefler said that after examination of the current resolution; he did not feel comfortable moving forward with approval that night due to questions of some possible required financial support. It was ascertained there was not a deadline for approval. Town Manager Drake Howell stated this newer draft seemed more obligatory; ambiguous as to population and annual fees; and had some loose ends. Council Member Sullivan noted the prior concern was there is no financial obligation. Council Member Rohr stated the Town did have noxious weed responsibilities, to which Howell maintained the Town currently lead a weed program that sprayed by roads and private properties which were late on weed removal (at the private property owners expense), Rohr said it may not only mean the Leeds Town area, and asked if it extended to cheat grass. Mayor Lefler suggested the item be continued to the June 9, 2010 Town Council Meeting as a discussion item.
- 10. Consideration and Discussion of the Tentative FY2011 Town Budget and Setting the Date for a Public Hearing to receive public comments on the Tentative FY2011 Town Budget** – Mayor Lefler informed that the Town Council needed to set a Public Hearing date before June 22 or decide if a tax increase was needed and go through a truth in taxation with a Public Hearing and Budget before August 17. He stated the expenses in the budget were now 20% lower than six months ago, and with the resignation of Town Manager Drake Howell would be 40% lower. He displayed a power point presentation giving a review of the following options:
- 1. Raise Property Taxes**
 - A. Focus on “bedroom community” feel
 - B. Rely on Property Taxes and nominal Sales Taxes

Lefler noted if the Town wanted to hem out commercial and keep the Town a bedroom community; it would need to raise taxes.
 - 2. Lower Property Taxes**
 - A. Increase Commercial
 - B. Town Focus on Services
 - C. Be proactive with Development

3. No Change in Property Taxes

- D. Increase Commercial
- E. Town Focus on Services
- F. Be proactive with Development

Lefler stated this would entail energetically pursuing a sewer solution, and noted this was a good time to do this due the lower pricing of today's economy. He said our dollars would go further. He emphasized decisions would be made in keeping with the guidelines set forth from the Form Tomorrow workshops. Mayor Lefler stated he preferred options two and three, then he portrayed the specifics scenarios each option could entail

1. Raise Property Taxes because of small tax base elsewhere

- a. Increase Taxes by? %
- b. Full-time Town Manager budget item at 40k plus benefits
- c. Police Department budget at 36k per year
- d. Put 10% (30k) away each year to a new Capital Facilities fund
 - i. With rules applying such as funds put in fund cannot be appropriated in the same fiscal year
 - ii. An Ordinance self-imposing budgetary rules as a Town

2. Lower Property Taxes, increase tax base outside of property taxes

- a. Decrease Taxes by equivalent of 10k per year (-20%) to offset sew costs
- b. Contract for "as needed" staff support or utilize volunteers (at least temporarily)
- c. Pursue a complete sewer solution
- d. Pursue an EDA and form an EDC to increase commercial tax base
- e. Put 5% (15k) away each year to a new Capital Facilities fund
 - i. With rules applying such as funds put in fund cannot be appropriated in the same fiscal year
 - ii. An Ordinance self-imposing budgetary rules as a Town
- f. Police force:
 - i. Contract with the County (possible contract for patrol time)
 - ii. Or increase to 15k for small patrol time, and increase from there as able

3. No Change in Property Taxes, increase tax base outside of property taxes

- a. No change to taxes
- b. Contract for "as needed" staff support or utilize volunteers (at least temporarily)
- c. Pursue a complete sewer solution
- d. Pursue an EDA and form an EDC to increase commercial tax base
- e. Put 10% (30k) away each year to a new Capital Facilities fund
 - i. With rules applying such as funds put in fund cannot be appropriated in the same fiscal year
 - ii. An Ordinance self-imposing budgetary rules as a Town
- f. Police force:
 - i. Contract with the County (possible contract for patrol time)
 - ii. Or increase to 15k for small patrol time, and increase from there as able

Lefler reiterated the Council needed to decide on whether to keep taxes the same and hold a Public Hearing before June 22, 2010, or decide they want to lower or raise taxes and go through a truth in taxation process including holding a Public Hearing before August 17, 2010. Council Member Angie Rohr asked if all residence would be expected to hook up to a sewer system, to which Lefler said State funding would mandate this to those economically feasible and that lowering taxes would help offset the costs, and Council Member Keith Sullivan reminded there were other options for funding than the State. Town Manager Drake Howell then presented ten different specific budget scenarios, which displayed the complete budgets and deficit or non-deficit of each. The scenarios included different combinations of having Full, Part, and No Town Manager; and Full, Part, and No Police Department or Patrol. It was explained that having no Police Department meant shutting it down completely with no monthly costs, and using the Washington County Sheriff for patrol, with the knowledge it would be hard and costly to start the Leeds Department back up again. It was further explained that having no police patrol meant having no local Leeds patrol; using the Washington County Sheriff for patrol; and making nominal monthly payments to preserve the Leeds Police Department for possible future reestablishment until a definite decision of its future is made. Howell advised the Council they needed to decide on one of the scenarios or offer another and set a date for a public hearing. The scenarios were displayed as follows

		General Fund	
		Deficit	Non-Deficit
A	Half Time Manager; \$25,000 per year No Police Patrol, but non-personnel costs paid	-	18,402.81
B	Full Time Manager; \$40,000 per year No Police Patrol, but non-personnel costs paid	97.19	-
C	Half Time Manager; \$25,000 per year Police Patrol, \$36K per year Police Budget	8,397.19	-
D	Full Time Manager; \$40,000 per year Police Patrol, \$36K per year Police Budget	26,897.19	-
E	Half Time Manager; \$25,000 per year No Police Department	-	25,031.00
F	Full Time Manager; \$40,000 per year No Police Department	-	6,531.00
G	No Manager No Police Patrol, but non-personnel costs paid	-	42,952.81
H	No Manager Police Patrol, \$36K per year Police Budget	-	16,152.81
I	No Manager No Police Department	-	49,581.00

The Council discussed and asked questions regarding some of the scenarios. Council Member Frank Lojko said he thought it would be a mistake to lower taxes because it would lower property value by sending the wrong message of not having a Town; not having a police department; and not having many services. He said he also did not want to raise taxes, but did not want to dismantle the Town either. Lefler concurred and said he hated to see more costs to residents. Council Member Alan Roberts said if "we wanted to play Town, we needed to take responsibility." He noted the Town had run on volunteerism in the past, but felt it "had caught up to us." He said the last Town Council had looked at staff and provided services as an investment. He noted roads, parks, and building were liabilities, and if it was important to keep them, people needed to decide to make the investment and move forward. He suggested to look at local taxes for what they were...the payment of services. Council Member Angie Rohr agreed that lowering taxes was not the answer, but felt the Town had already raised taxes by adding franchise telecom and municipal energy taxes. She thought bringing in some small commercial businesses would increase the tax base enough. Lojko added that on the old Council, he was emphatically opposed to raising taxes but felt the franchise tax was okay, since it was more of a choice and a "use" decision. He noted it had kept the Town solvent over the past year. Council Member Keith Sullivan pointed out that the tax increase needed would only be around \$10.00 per month to each household. Mayor Lefler noted the load for him and other staff had already increased significantly with the Town Manager's hours being cut to one half, and he was already feeling the weight increase more dramatically with the Town Manager gone. However, he said the Town Council would help him to handle it. He noted the situation was not the best nor at the level it has; and that some things would fall through the cracks due to time restraints; and that sooner or later things would cost extra because of lack of a full staff; but that it would run and function. He suggested as the Council decided on one of the options, they choose one that will make the Town healthy. A **Motion** was made by Angela Rohr with a **second** by Mayor Lefler to **Approve the Tentative Budget Option I, which was no manager and no police department, for the maximum amount of savings, and have a June 9, 2010 Public hearing.** Lojko commented that citizens needed to be aware that the possibility of raising taxes would also be discussed. He did not want to shirk the Town Council's responsibility by limiting their avenues. He felt option "I" was a good place to start their discussion. Lefler concurred that a truth in taxation item should be added to the agenda to illustrate it may be part of the discussion. He wanted to very up front about it. Roberts noted the public hearing would allow dialogue to shape the true budget. A **Roll Call Vote** was taken with an **Aye Vote Unanimous.** Howell then realized that the special revenue funds of parks, impact fees and public safety had not been included in his prior presentation with the general fund, and that they technically needed to be addressed. A **Motion** was made by Alan Roberts with a **second** by Keith Sullivan to **Reconsider Item 10 of the Agenda.** An **Aye Vote** was **Unanimous.** Howell then displayed the 2009 actual amounts, the 2010 estimated amounts, and the 2011

tentative amounts of the three special revenue funds. There was some discussion. A **Motion** was made by Angela Rohr with a **second** by Keith Sullivan to **Approve the Tentative 2011 Budget Option I, (which was no manager and no police department), including all funds, for the maximum amount of savings, and have a June 9, 2010 Public hearing.**

11. **Discussion of a Possible Extension of the Inter-local Agreement with Ash Creek Special Service District** - Mayor Lefler noted the Ash Creek Special Service District covered Leeds, Hurricane, LaVerkin, Toquerville, and Harrisburg, and informed that the Inter-local Agreement with Ash Creek Special Service District was signed in September of 2008. He advised that the agreement would be expiring in September of 2010, and referring to a staff report, asked if the Council would like to request an extension of the agreement. Several Council Members voiced their support. Lefler stated he felt it would be unwise to let it go as it was good to keep our options open. **A Motion** was made by Keith Sullivan with a **second** by Alan Roberts to **Authorize staff to engage in discussion with Ash Creek Special Service District for the Extension of the Inter-local Agreement with Ash Creek Special Service District. Four Aye Votes** were given by Mayor Lefler, Council Members Alan Roberts, Keith Sullivan and Frank Lojko with Council Member Angela Rohr **Abstaining.**

12. **Consideration and Possible Determination that the Inter-local Agreement with Ash Creek Special Service District is a Viable Sewer Solution for Current and Future Land Development** – Town Manager Drake Howell gave the following staff report: **Background:** As a condition of approval, the Leeds Town Council has obligated new developments within the Town of Leeds to establish viable sewer solutions to service their developments. In order to retain their conditional approval in the face of quickly expiring entitlements, developers are forced to look at project-specific wastewater solutions as opposed to a comprehensive, community-wide solution as no community-wide solution has yet to be studied, planned, adopted and constructed. Howell gave the specific example of Rick Sant's development preliminary plat approval expiration in January of 2011. He noted Sant had been working on a sewer solution that could also benefit the Town, but in an attempt to comply with the preliminary plat requirement of having a viable sewer solution, he was now forced to incorporate a sewer system that would only service his development. To eliminate the need to have many smaller sewer system for each new development, Howell said staff **Recommended** the following;

- A. Determine that the Inter-Local Agreement with Ash Creek SSD is a viable sewer solution for current and future land developments;
- B. Require all unimproved developments to study, plan and design sewer solutions to implement the obligations and contemplated design of the Ash Creek SSD Inter-Local Agreement or that benefit the Town of Leeds as opposed to specific developments;
- C. Eliminate any and all conditions of approval requiring the identification of a viable sewer solution for preliminary plat approval; and
- D. Shift the viable sewer solution requirement to construction drawings as a condition of final plat approval.

The **Findings** for such recommendations were stated as follows:

- A. If the developers continue to pursue these project-specific wastewater solutions, there is a potential for several wastewater treatment facilities within the Town of Leeds as opposed to one or two topographically-centered wastewater treatment facilities.
- B. Project-specific wastewater solutions have the potential to detract from the quality of life the Town of Leeds has to offer.
- C. Combining the efforts of individual developers to one or two community-benefitting wastewater options will contribute to the improved lifestyle and economic development of the Town of Leeds.
- D. A common approach to wastewater management has the potential of streamlining resources and establishing economies of scale vis-à-vis future costs of operations and maintenance.

Council Members Keith Sullivan, Angela Rohr, and Frank Lojko asked for clarification. They were concerned this may tie up a developer's hands if the Town could not produce a viable community sewer system. Mayor Lefler explained that the option for a developer to establish their own sewer system would still exist, but approving the Ashcreek Agreement as a viable solution, would create another option. Howell reiterated this would not "tie developers' hands" to a specific solution but would encourage them to look at all options including a community sewer system. He noted the underlying obligation for a developer to come up with their own solution within the preliminary plat time limit could force them to only service their own project, when they may rather help develop a Town solution. Silver Point Developer Rick Sant was given time to explain his position. He stated the Town worked on a sewer solution for fifteen years, but it fell apart in 2000, and he had been working on one for the past four years. He said he had a limited time left to submit all his documents before his preliminary plat entitlements expired. He said he had to show he had a viable sewer solution in order to meet the requirements. He said he could hire an engineer to design a sewer system for his

development to meet the time deadline, but would rather be of greater help to the Town by uniting with others on the Ashcreek system or the Grapevine Wash system. He also noted he could put in a temporary portable sewer system to give him time to develop the best solution. Council Member Roberts stated he always considered the possibility of tying into Ashcreek as a viable sewer solution for any developer. He did not think having sewer systems all over Town was the best solution. Sullivan clarified that the issue was whether or not to approve Ashcreek as a viable sewer solution. Lojko did not want to "paint anyone into a corner" by holding them to any specific solution, and Rohr wondered why Silver Point could not extend their expiration, but was answered they had already extended one year and that was all the ordinances allowed. Rohr said Ashcreek was limited to 240 hook-ups and noted other possible future developments, to which Roberts said the Ashcreek agreement showed Ashcreek was willing to increase the number if necessary. Lojko reiterated his concern of not wanting to hold someone to a specific solution, but to allow them options. Howell reiterated the decision was between keeping the "viable sewer solution" as a condition on current development that have obtained approval with that condition on their preliminary plat approval, or say "we understand the sewer issues going on in town," and shift the "viable sewer solution" requirement to the final plat stage to allow more time for compliance. Rohr said this would resolve her desire to allow more time. Mayor Lefler expressed his desire to move this item to a future meeting in order to address the issue on the basis of shifting the "viable sewer solution" requirement from the preliminary stage to the final plat stage, and said he was not sure he wanted to state a specific sewer solution as a viable sewer solution. Howell suggested to

Change the staff Recommendation to just:

- A. Eliminate any and all conditions of approval requiring the identification of a viable sewer solution for preliminary plat approval

He noted that conditions of a viable sewer solution could be placed at the time of final plat application. He felt this recommendation would eliminate confusion, and remove concerns of binding conditions. Lojko reiterated his concern of wanting to be fair and not wanting to change things on the three or four developers already invested. He said the Town had already approved their plans and wanted to move forward. Howell asked if Lojko felt the above amended recommendation would alleviate his concerns, to which Lojko responded affirmative. Howell then stated for the record his newly found conflict of interest on this issue and the reason for his resignation was his recent proposal to work with the Grapevine Wash Development. Rohr stated her continued concern that, although Alan identified the provisions in the contract for a possible increased number of Ashcreek sewer hook-ups, the future costs may be higher than now anticipated. She did not want to obligate the Town to such costs. Mayor Lefler suggested this item be moved to a future agenda.

- 14. Consideration and possible approval of Town Addressing Grid** – Mayor Lefler asked Town Manager Drake Howell for a report. Howell reported that the prior week the Planning Commission discussed two addressing grid options. One grid used true North as its base; the other modified grid used Leeds Main Street and Center as its base. Howell informed that the Planning Commission recommended approving the modified grid. Town Clerk/Recorder Fran Rex was asked to read the motion from the Planning Commission which was read as follows: "to Recommend Approval of a Modified Grid that runs with Main Street as the Official Town Addressing Grid." Council Member Frank Lojko asked if only problem addresses would be changed, to which Howell said yes. He said the State would be sending a list of drastically off addresses, and that the Planning Commission had cautioned not to change addresses other than the ones which were drastically off. He noted that the Post Office mailing addresses would not change, but Lojko added it would still impact many people. Fire Chief Steve Lewis said it would not be "fun" to change the addresses, but it would accomplish something good and needed. A Motion was made by Keith Sullivan with a second by Alan Roberts to **Approve the Modified Grid that runs with Main Street and Center Street as the Official Town Addressing Grid.** An **Aye Vote** was **Unanimous**.

15. UPDATES BY STAFF - None

16. Adjournment by Frank Lojko at **10:28 p.m.**

APPROVED ON THIS 26th DAY OF May, 2010

Myrum Lefler
Mayor Myrum Lefler

Attest: Fran Rex
Clerk/Recorder Francene